REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

P. RODNEY BLEVINS

ON BEHALF OF

DOMINION ENERGY SOUTH CAROLINA, INC.

DOCKET NO. 2020-125-E

- 1 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS, AND
- 2 **POSITION**.
- 3 A. My name is Rodney Blevins, and I serve as President of Dominion
- 4 Energy South Carolina, Inc. ("DESC" or the "Company"). My business
- 5 address is 400 Otarre Parkway, Cayce, South Carolina.
- 6 Q. ARE YOU THE SAME P. RODNEY BLEVINS WHO PREVIOUSLY
- **SUBMITTED DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?**
- 8 A. I am.
- 9 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
- 10 A. The primary purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to follow up on issues
- raised in the night hearings in this matter. Those issues are principally related
- to the hardship many customers are experiencing in paying their bills in light

In April 2019, SCE&G changed its name to Dominion Energy South Carolina, Inc. as a result of the acquisition of SCANA Corporation by Dominion Energy, Inc. For consistency, I use "DESC" to refer to the Company both before and after this name change.

1		of current economic conditions. I will also discuss why the Company filed
2		the case when it did and seeks the Commission's support in pursuing it to a
3		fair and reasonable conclusion.
4	Q.	WHAT IS THE COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO THE ISSUES RAISED
5		IN THE NIGHT HEARINGS CONCERNING THE HARDSHIPS
6		MANY CUSTOMERS ARE FACING?
7	A.	There are several things I would like to say here. First, I want DESC's
8		customers to understand that my team and I were listening during the night
9		hearings. Your concerns matter to us.
10		We understand that many people are struggling economically. That
11		is very clear. Many of the people who spoke at the night hearings, or who
12		had others speak for them, were elderly or disabled or had recently lost jobs.
13		In most cases, it is not just utility bills that are the problem. Economically
14		vulnerable people need housing and transportation assistance, food banks
15		medical and pharmacy assistance, and other sources of support.
16		As you know, during the night hearings we staffed a special customer
17		assistance call center so people who needed help could get connected with

18

19

20

at connecting distressed customers with all forms of assistance. That is what they do and do well.

A.

Q.

Cristina Freeman is the head of customer assistance for DESC. There is no finer employee in this company. She and her team work with a network of state, federal and local low-income assistance providers, as well as church groups and other charities, to connect vulnerable customers to get the help they need so that they can pay their bills. That is always our goal when assisting customers who are having trouble paying their bills. We want to find help for them to catch up on their bills and stay connected to the Company's service.

MANY CUSTOMERS TESTIFIED AT THE NIGHT HEARINGS
THAT THE RATE INCREASE REQUEST WAS UNFAIR BECAUSE
THEY WERE ON FIXED INCOMES PROVIDED BY SOCIAL
SECURITY OR DISABILITY PROGRAMS. HOW DO THE RATE
INCREASES REQUESTED HERE COMPARE TO THE COST OF
LIVING ADJUSTMENTS UNDER THOSE PROGRAMS?

I understand that if you are living on a fixed income, it may not be important to you how an increase in a particular bill compares to cost of living adjustments ("COLAs") under Social Security or inflation generally in the economy. But it is important for the Commission to recognize that DESC has controlled costs well during this period. Current rates are 9.27%

less, excluding new nuclear charges, than they were in 2012 when the last
rate increase was granted. The rate increase we request here is 7.75%, and if
granted, rates will still be lower than they were in 2012.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

A.

Α.

During that same period, since 2013, the Consumer Price Index increased by approximately 13%, and the Producer Price Index increased by approximately 10%, while the cost of living increase under Social Security and related Federal programs has been approximately 11%.

CUSTOMERS TESTIFIED AT THE NIGHT HEARINGS THAT Q. DESC'S RATES ARE OUT OF LINE WITH NATIONAL 10 AVERAGES. HOW DO YOU RESPOND?

This is not the case. In his rebuttal testimony, Company witness Mr. Rooks provides a comparison of our customers' bills with national and regional averages. His charts provide an accurate comparison.

WHAT IS THE SOURCE OF THIS CONFUSION? 0.

Often people confuse electric bills with electric rates. Electric bills in South Carolina reflect the fact that our climate makes electric heat pumps a very efficient way for customers to heat their homes during the winter. That is not true to the same degree in other states to the north where homes are often heated with gas. At the same time, we have enough cold weather for winter electric usage to be higher in the winter here than in states to the south, and enough air conditioning demand for our electric usage in the summer to

1		be higher than states to the north. Bills in South Carolina are driven by usage
2		patterns, reflecting the unique climate characteristics and most efficient
3		choice of energy source, which is often electricity.
4	Q.	MANY CUSTOMERS TESTIFIED AT THE NIGHT HEARINGS
5		THAT THEY WERE UPSET THAT THE COMPANY WOULD FILE
6		A RATE CASE DURING A PANDEMIC. HOW DO YOU RESPOND?
7	A.	I understand why that perception exists and am completely
8		sympathetic to the concerns that underlie it. But there is another, longer-term
9		perspective that we must keep in mind as well. Let me explain.
10		Our ultimate responsibility to our customers is to be good stewards of
11		this utility system for them, today and in the future. To ensure the system we
12		operate will be the safe, reliable and efficient electric system that our
13		customers expect and deserve, continued investment in that system is
14		necessary.
15		The electric rates the Commission set in 2012 do not allow us to
16		recover the full costs of providing service to customers today. This gap will
17		only widen as time goes on. As Company witness Mr. Fetter testifies, long

18

19

20

21

investment is a major reason that rates set in 2012 do not cover the cost of providing service today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

A.

The investments we plan to make in our system going forward in 2021, 2022 and beyond will be equally necessary to protect the interest that all South Carolinians share in a robust economy, an attractive quality of life and a secure and reliable source of energy for the long term. Again, as Mr. Fetter testifies, in the long run, investments in the utility system can only be made if rates are sufficient to provide for them.

9 Q. BUT WHY NOT DELAY THE CASE UNTIL BETTER ECONOMIC TIMES? 10

Certainly, we all would prefer this rate proceeding to take place in the most favorable economic times. But no one can say when those times will be. In 2018, when the merger was proposed, base electric rates were already six years old. They were not sufficient to cover the Company's costs of operating its system going forward. And that fact was not concealed by Dominion Energy, Inc. It was openly discussed at the time. In the merger order, the Commission ordered DESC to "freeze electric base rates at current levels until January 1, 2021, with its first post-merger rate case to be filed in **2020**." Order No. 2018-804(A) at p. 97 (emphasis added). Because of the pandemic, we sought the Commission's blessing to further delay that filing

until August 15, 2020, with the expectation that disruption from the pandemic would have peaked before the end of this fall.

But that has not happened. Defeating the pandemic has been much more difficult than anticipated. It now appears that multiple vaccines are about to become available, and if so, then it is likely that the pandemic will resolve itself in 2021.

We think that South Carolina's approach to the pandemic has been a good one. The leaders of our state have repeatedly emphasized that we should encourage business to carry on as much as possible with normal operations so that the economic effects of the pandemic do not make its effects worse than they have to be. That approach has worked well to date. In this proceeding, we ask the Commission to let us continue to use the regulatory process as it was intended to insure that the cost of operations and investment in utility assets can be recovered and we can continue to justify on-going investment in the system to the investors who provide the required capital. This is good economic policy.

A delayed rate case will require a second one, which could be larger than this one. The impression among customers that current rates are sufficient will become more ingrained. And what could eventually be a higher percentage increase will hit customers harder. As discussed in my earlier testimony, since the start of this year, the Company has greatly expanded its funding for the Company-sponsored programs that Ms. Freeman administers. In addition, the Company has more than doubled its spending on energy efficiency programs for low and moderate income customers and outreach to them through its new Demand Side Management programs. We have agreed to study the cost-effectiveness of further expanding these programs. The Company also instituted a nodisconnect, no non-payment penalty policy during this pandemic. We did so before any regulatory action required it, and we maintained it well after being authorized to return to normal operations. These are appropriate responses to the pandemic. Continuing to charge rates that do not reflect the cost of providing power to the people we serve would not be appropriate.

Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?

14 A. Yes, it does.